

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,054	02/05/2004	Dale Cummins	1-17690 2053	
	7590 01/11/2008 & MELHORN, LLC		EXAMINER	
FOUR SEAGA			LEE, EDMUND H	
8TH FLOOR TOLEDO, OH 43804			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
102220, 011	. 15001	1791	1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/708,054	CUMMINS, DALE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		EDMUND H. LEE	1791			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -			
	Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 April 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

10/708,054 Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Frank et al (USPN 7138202) as set forth in the Office action mailed 1/03/07.
- 3. Claims 7,8,9,12, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wangerow (USPN 6730426) as set forth in the Office action mailed 1/03/07.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank et al (USPN 7138202) as set forth in the Office action mailed 1/03/07.
- 6. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wangerow (USPN 6730426) as set forth in the Office action mailed 1/03/07.

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4/9/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Frank et al do not teach placing the plates in a mold; injecting into the mold to form an insulation layer, a sealing layer between the plates; and binding the plates to form a bipolar plate module. This argument is misplaced because the limitations are clearly taught by Frank et al (col 9, ln 53-col 10, ln 4; col 10-lns 12-50; figs 1a-3). It should be noted that assembly device 60 constitutes a mold.

Applicant argues that Wangerow does not teach screen printing a sealing material upon one of an anode plate and a cathode plate; positioning a MEA upon one of the plates; placing the other plate upon the MEA to form a sealing layer between the plates and to bind the plates together to form a bipolar plate module. This argument is misplaced because the limitations are clearly taught by Wangerow (col 5, Ins 5-13 and 13-60; fig 1). It should be noted that collectively plates 11/14 (separator plate and anode electrode) and 12/15 (separator and cathode electrode) constitute the claimed anode and cathode plates, respectively. It should be noted that seals 16 and 17 of Wangerow constitute the claimed edge seal.

Applicant argues that Wangerow does not teach sealing material deposited on a perimeter of one of the plates. Wangerow clearly teaches depositing sealing material on a perimeter of one of the plates (fig 1)--it should be noted that seals 16 and 17 are screen printed onto the perimeter of the plates.

10/708,054 Art Unit: 1791

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571.272.1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/708,054 Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791

EHL

guette